ARTICLE APPEARED ON PAGE 33

Ø

HARPER'S SEPTEMBER 1984

SHOULD THE I FIGHT SECRET V

ment the first "contra" was issued his made combat boots, the Reagan Admis secret war against Nicaragua has been embed vociferous if somewhat bizarre public del gressmen proclaim their outrage, editorial their misgivings, while officials in Washing are running the war—blandly "decline to on intelligence matters."

Secret, or covert, wars are an honored t postwar U.S. foreign policy, having enjothing of a golden age in the 1950s, wher discreetly shuffled governments in Iran, C and the Philippines. But the "controversial secret war" is a paradox peculiar to our post-Vietnam, post-Watergate democracy. At the root of the furor over Nicaragua lies a conflict that has obsessed America's

public life for the last fifteen-odd years: the people's

right to know versus the stated demands of national security.

Can any democracy effectively fight secret wars? Should the United States fight such wars? If so, by what moral right and in what circumstances? To consider these dilemmas, *Harper's* recently brought together intelligence officers, politicians, and diplomats who have confronted them firsthand and found them no less easy to resolve.

Continued